

# localization of a module\*

CWoo<sup>†</sup>

2013-03-21 23:37:07

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Let  $S \subset R$  be a non-empty multiplicative set. Form the Cartesian product  $M \times S$ , and define a binary relation  $\sim$  on  $M \times S$  as follows:

$$(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2) \text{ if and only if there is some } t \in S \text{ such that } t(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$$

**Proposition 1.**  $\sim$  on  $M \times S$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* Clearly  $(m, s) \sim (m, s)$  as  $t(sm - sm) = 0$  for any  $t \in S$ , where  $S \neq \emptyset$ . Also,  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$  implies that  $(m_2, s_2) \sim (m_1, s_1)$ , since  $t(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$  implies that  $t(s_1m_2 - s_2m_1) = 0$ . Finally, given  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$  and  $(m_2, s_2) \sim (m_3, s_3)$ , we are led to two equations  $t(s_2m_1 - s_1m_2) = 0$  and  $u(s_3m_2 - s_2m_3) = 0$  for some  $t, u \in S$ . Expanding and rearranging these, then multiplying the first equation by  $us_3$  and the second by  $ts_1$ , we get  $tus_2(s_3m_1 - s_1m_3) = 0$ . Since  $tus_2 \in S$ ,  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_3, s_3)$  as required.  $\square$

Let  $M_S$  be the set of equivalence classes in  $M \times S$  under  $\sim$ . For each  $(m, s) \in M \times S$ , write

$$[(m, s)] \text{ or more commonly } \frac{m}{s}$$

the equivalence class in  $M_S$  containing  $(m, s)$ . Next,

- define a binary operation  $+$  on  $M_S$  as follows:

$$\frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m_2}{s_2} := \frac{s_2m_1 + s_1m_2}{s_1s_2}.$$

- define a function  $\cdot : R_S \times M_S \rightarrow M_S$  as follows:

$$\frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{m}{t} := \frac{rm}{st}$$

where  $R_S$  is the localization of  $R$  over  $S$ .

---

\**LocalizationOfAModule* created: *2013-03-21* by: *CWoo* version: *39831* Privacy setting: *1* *Definition* *13B30*

<sup>†</sup>This text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution/Share-Alike License 3.0. You can reuse this document or portions thereof only if you do so under terms that are compatible with the CC-BY-SA license.

**Proposition 2.**  $M_S$  together with  $+$  and  $\cdot$  defined above is a unital module over  $R_S$ .

*Proof.* That  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are well-defined is based on the following: if  $(m_1, s_1) \sim (m_2, s_2)$ , then

$$\frac{m}{s} + \frac{m_1}{s_1} = \frac{m}{s} + \frac{m_2}{s_2}, \quad \frac{m_1}{s_1} + \frac{m}{s} = \frac{m_2}{s_2} + \frac{m}{s}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{m_1}{s_1} = \frac{r}{s} \cdot \frac{m_2}{s_2},$$

which are clear by Proposition 1. Furthermore  $+$  is commutative and associative and that  $\cdot$  distributes over  $+$  on both sides, which are all properties inherited from  $M$ . Next,  $\frac{0}{s}$  is the additive identity in  $M_S$  and  $\frac{-m}{s} \in M_S$  is the additive inverse of  $\frac{m}{s}$ . So  $M_S$  is a module over  $R_S$ . Finally, since  $(mt, st) \sim (m, s)$  for any  $t \in S$ ,  $\frac{s}{t} \cdot \frac{m}{s} = \frac{m}{s}$  so that  $M_S$  is unital.  $\square$

**Definition.**  $M_S$ , as an  $R_S$ -module, is called the *localization* of  $M$  at  $S$ .  $M_S$  is also written  $S^{-1}M$ .

**Remarks.**

- The notion of the localization of a module generalizes that of a ring in the sense that  $R_S$  is the localization of  $R$  at  $S$  as an  $R_S$ -module.
- If  $S = R - \mathfrak{p}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal in  $R$ , then  $M_S$  is usually written  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .