

congruence of arbitrary degree*

pahio[†]

2013-03-22 2:44:49

Theorem. A congruence of n th degree and modulo a prime number has at most n incongruent roots.

Proof. In the case $n = 1$, the assertion turns out from the entry linear congruence. We make the induction hypothesis, that the assertion is true for congruences of degree less than n .

We suppose now that the congruence

$$f(x) := a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad (1)$$

where $p \nmid a_n$, has at least n incongruent roots x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n . Form the congruence

$$f(x) \equiv a_n(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \cdots (x - x_n) \pmod{p}. \quad (2)$$

Both sides have the same term $a_n x^n$ of the highest degree, whence they may be cancelled from the congruence and the degree of (2) has a lower degree than n . Because (2), however, clearly has n incongruent roots x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , it must by the induction hypothesis be simplifiable to the form $0 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ and thus be an identical congruence.

Now, if the congruence (1) had an additional incongruent root x_{n+1} , i.e. $P(x_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then the identical congruence (2) would imply

$$a_n(x_{n+1} - x_1)(x_{n+1} - x_2) \cdots (x_{n+1} - x_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Yet, this is impossible, since no one of the factors of the left hand side is divisible by p . This settles the induction proof.

Cf. SpringerLink.

Example. When $f(x) := x^5 + x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, we have $f(0) \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$,

**(CongruenceOfArbitraryDegree)* created: *(2013-03-22)* by: *(pahio)* version: *(41722)*
Privacy setting: *(1)* *(Theorem)* *(11A05)* *(11A07)*

[†]This text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution/Share-Alike License 3.0. You can reuse this document or portions thereof only if you do so under terms that are compatible with the CC-BY-SA license.

$$f(1) \equiv 3 \pmod{7},$$

$$f(2) \equiv 32 + 2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

$$f(3) \equiv 27 \cdot 9 + 3 + 1 \equiv -1 \cdot 2 + 4 \equiv 2 \pmod{7},$$

$$f(4) \equiv (-3)^5 + 4 + 1 \equiv +2 + 5 \equiv 0 \pmod{7},$$

$$f(5) \equiv (-2)^5 + 5 + 1 \equiv -32 + 6 \equiv -26 \equiv 2 \pmod{7},$$

$$f(6) \equiv (-1)^5 + 6 + 1 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}.$$

Thus only the representants 2 and 4 of a complete residue system modulo 7 (see conditional congruences) are roots of the given congruence. A congruence needs not have the maximal amount of incongruent roots mentioned in the theorem.

References

- [1] K. VÄISÄLÄ: *Lukuteorian ja korkeamman algebran alkeet*. Tiedekirjasto No. 17. Kustannusosakeyhtiö Otava, Helsinki (1950).